

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/656,514	Applicant(s) SCHROMEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Árpád Fábián Kovács	Art Unit 3671	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Árpád Fábián Kovács.

(3) _____.

 (2) Wendy Marsh.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14-19


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed Applicant that during the Appeal Conference, claims 1-10, 20-22 were determined to be allowable, while claims 14-19 are not relevant to the invention set forth in claims 1-10, 20-22. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 14-19.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)